British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
NOVEMBER 1990
25p

THATCHER'S COME-UPPANCE!

Tories lose 'safe' Eastbourne seat

As Britain slides to ruin, voters desert in droves

THE VOTERS OF EASTBOURNE turned out on October 18th and delivered a massive 'no!' to the Thatcher Government in what was undoubtedly one of the biggest political shocks of the past half-century.

In the run-up to the by-election in the town, called as a result of the IRA murder of the previously sitting MP Ian Gow, it was assumed that Eastbourne was a seat that the Tories could not lose. In the party's hands since 1906, it was regarded as one of the safest in the country.

In the event, the Tory candidate was defeated by the Liberal Democrat by a margin of 4,550 votes. As the news came over, dismayed Tories could hardly believe it.

Even more than the shock Tory defeat in Mid-Staffordshire last March, the result of the by-election in Eastbourne demonstrated the deep and growing unpopularity of the Thatcher Government, even in the eyes of its erstwhile supporters.

MAGGIE'S BY-ELECTION DISASTER
Above: Liberal Democrat David Bellotti celebrates with supporters. Below: Tory candidate Richard Hickmet looks bemused in defeat

The Tory campaign in Eastbourne was not helped by the party's threat to the electors that if they failed to return its candidate it would be a moral victory for the IRA. Here the Tories were seen to be cashing in on the popularity of Mr. Gow, one of the party's few honourable MPs. This was seen by many voters as blackmail, and it thoroughly got their backs up. A good number voted Liberal Democrat in protest, although they remain basically Tory supporters. This elephantine *faux pas* served to demonstrate what an incompetent party the Tories have become.

Nevertheless, the main reason for the alarming fall in the Tory vote was the catastrophic state of Britain in 1990 after more than 11 years of Thatcher Government.

APPALLING RECORD

In almost every area of national affairs the reign of the 'Iron Lady' has been appalling beyond words. Despite much propaganda about the promised 'revival' of British industry, it is now becoming clear that the nation is heading for one of the worst recessions for a long time — a recession whose coming is even acknowledged by the Confederation of British Industry, traditionally a pro-Tory organisation. Education, as everyone knows, is in a shambles even worse than when Mrs. Thatcher took office in 1979. Crime is going through the roof, despite all the fighting speeches about 'law and order' that we have heard every year from Tory conferences. The IRA remains undefeated, as the latest series of appalling murders in Northern Ireland last month make clear. The immigrants continue to flood in, with a quarter of a million Hong Kong Chinese being the latest in the queue.

Now the Government has committed Britain to a senseless confrontation with Iraq in the Middle East — a confrontation that may result in the loss of the lives of our best young servicemen, which is certain to cost the nation millions extra on its defence budget, but which serves no vital British interest whatsoever.

And on top of it all we have the iniquitous Poll Tax, which is grossly unjust, as well as being almost impossble to collect — as the huge number of payment-defaulters all over the country makes clear. The Poll Tax is just one more issue over which this Government has shot itself in the foot. Not only are its policies thoroughly bad, it is proving totally incompetent in putting through those policies.

REVOLUTIONS

Two massive revolutions have occurred at parliamentary by-elections this year. In the Mid-Staffordshire election Labour were the beneficiaries, turning a Tory majority of 14,654 in 1987 into a defeat of the Tories by 9,449 votes. In Eastbourne — never likely to be a Labour seat — it was the Social Democrats who profited.

But it should be abundantly clear, from a look at Labour's lamentable past record in government, and from a brief examination of the policies of both Labour and the Liberal Democrats, that neither of these 'opposition' parties offers any true alternative to the mess and muddle that the Tories have created. For Britain to be restored to her former strength and prosperity, two changes are needed above all else.

The first is a government of real **strength**, a government that will **govern** — taking a grip of the nation, putting down all those forces that are weakening it and tearing it apart, stamping heavily on crime, wiping out terrorism and restoring real **authority** to the nation's life. Can you imagine the likes of Neil Kinnock & Co. or Paddy Ashdown and his party of oddballs and wimps providing such government?

Secondly, Britain needs policies of **nationalism** — policies which put the nation and its people **first** in all things. We need economic nationalism, based on the Japanese model, to protect and then build up our

Contd. on page 4

FACE OF GLOOM
The PM appears decidedly unhappy as chickens come home to roost

British Nationalist

No. 105 NOVEMBER 1990
Editor: John Morse

BRITAIN AT THE CROSSROADS

AFTER THATCHERISM, what?

This is the great question facing the British people today. As should by now be obvious, Mrs. Thatcher and the Tories are morally and politically bankrupt. Every one of their fair promises of 11 years ago now stands exposed as hollow.

This may be one reason why Mrs. Thatcher is now taking the lead in involving Britain in the distraction of a foreign military adventure in the Middle East. The 'Falklands Factor' no doubt helped her to second and third terms of office. Perhaps she hopes a war against Iraq might work the same trick again. The difference, of course, is that, unlike in the Falklands, Britain has not even the shadow of an interest in the shedding of her fighting men's blood in the Gulf.

Meanwhile, just look at what is happening here at home.

In 1979 Mrs. Thatcher promised us 'law and order'. She even gave sly nods and winks to the voters that were calculated to suggest that her Government would restore the rope.

11 years later, what do we see?

Britain is drowning in a flood-tide of violent crime the like of which it has never suffered before. Inner-city riots, muggings and rapes, the brutal murder of innocent people and savage terrorism have become the order of the day.

But Mrs. Thatcher and her ministers have no solutions. As for the Prime Minister's pretence that her Government would bring back the death penalty, this looks today like the threadbare spoof we always said it was.

Then there was that other Thatcher will o' the wisp. As leader of the Opposition, she led ordinary British folk to believe that she understood their fears of being, in her own words, "swamped by alien cultures." The implication was that the voters only had to put their crosses in her favour and she would sort out this problem for them too.

But now that she has declared this country open to the Hong Kong Chinese she herself has finally given the lie to any such undertaking. Even the most gullible of Thatcher fans can hardly any longer pretend to believe that their heroine is going to do anything about the immigrant problem either.

The truth is of course that under Mrs. Thatcher's rule the swamping never stopped. Indeed, if anything it speeded up. Apart from the Hong Kongers, hordes of others have continued to flood in.

In the meantime the much-vaunted Thatcherite 'economic miracle' has fallen to pieces. Thatcherism has thrown British industry to the wolves. British investment capital has been switched to other parts of the world for the profit of international speculators. For a time this global investment binge masked the real impoverishment of Britain. But now, with soaring foreign trade deficits and inflation, and the threat of new mass unemployment, this too is at an end.

The British people are at the crossroads. If things go on as they are, neither they nor their children have anything to look forward to. By the same token, they have nothing to lose from doing the right thing at this eleventh hour.

There is only one option left: support nationalism NOW!

WHY WE ARE WINNING

Full text of John Tyndall's speech to the BNP Annual Rally, October 13th

Mr. Chairman, fellow members, fellow nationalists...I'd like to start by thanking all of you for the wonderful effort that you've made to get here this afternoon. We've had no end of inconvenience. We've been bounced from pillar to post. Some of us have not attended this meeting in the greatest of comfort, as we would have done if we'd had our first location. But most of you made it, and I'd like to thank you all for bearing with us in the great difficulties that we've had this afternoon. I swore to the interviewers back at Friends House — I said: "We are going to have our meeting" — because I know our people, and I know that you will not be stopped from having your meeting. So thanks again for all the effort that you've made!

Now my colleagues have given you a picture of the tremendous development of the BNP in 1990, and this attendance here is an indication of this. It is the biggest rally we've ever had. I've counted over 300 here, and no doubt we lost a few more on the way here, who couldn't make it from Euston Road. That's just one indication. There are others that have been pointed out: the amount of television coverage we've had in the last few months — more than we had in the previous seven or eight years; the increase in new members that we've seen coming in; the increase in enquiries about the party; and of course the tremendous votes received by Ken Walsh, by Steve Smith and others in these recent elections. I know that it's a long way from where we have to go if we're to win, but when you compare it with what was being achieved by nationalist candidates in elections only a year or two previously, you get an idea of how far we've come.

Now why? Why this upsurge? Because remember that for many years we were having our meetings and we were having about the same numbers there. We had about the same numbers joining as we had leaving. For many years we were just marking time and holding our own. Now we've had this sudden upsurge, a growing interest in our message. Why?

Well, sometimes it's a good thing to step back for a moment from daily and weekly affairs and to look at — a phrase which has been used before this afternoon — to look at the 'big picture'. Now we have to talk about the past. Some people say: "Let's forget the past." But we have to understand the past in order to understand why we are where we are today. So, it is a good thing, I think, to look back over the past fifty years or so of the development — or, we might say, the atrophy — of this country, to know why we have the kind of rulers now that we have, why we have the kind of policies imposed upon us that we have. We have to look back...

BATTLE OF BRITAIN

Only last month we had the fiftieth anniversary of the Battle of Britain. And, just to make sure we didn't forget the occasion, they brought out the film *The Battle of Britain*, which I've always thought was one of the better films — because the propaganda in it was about 25 per-cent, instead of being 95 per-cent, which it is in most war films. Not too much propaganda. And in this film you saw the continual shots of — one moment a Messerschmidt 109, with a fair-skinned young pilot going down in flames, and the next moment a shot of a Spitfire, with an equally fair-skinned young man inside, going down in smoke. And, looking at that, one was struck by the tragedy of it all, the criminal tragedy of that loss of life. The best of Europe dying — **for what?** For what?

Now, going five years on from the Battle of Britain, we had the Allied 'victory' of 1945 — a 'victory', I might say, in inverted commas. Now, it's worth recording this: the three main 'victorious' powers — who were they? Britain, with her Empire (and we had an empire then), the United States and Soviet Russia — the three main 'victorious' powers. And the two main defeated powers: Germany (from where we're happy to have our friend here this afternoon) and Japan; they were the leading defeated powers.

Then we come forward, and we look at the world today, the one in 1990. Who are today the most growing, the most successful nations in the world today? Germany and Japan — the two very countries that were supposedly defeated in 1945! And then we come to look at how the 'victors' are doing — Britain, America, Soviet Russia. Soviet Russia...falling apart, the communist system collapsing, national bankruptcy. They can't even organise to get bread in the shops — from the country that has the greatest 'breadbasket' in the world. The various constituent republics breaking away. The Soviet Union falling to pieces. Then we look across at America, and we see President Bush trying frantically to grapple with bankruptcy. America, the wealthiest country in the world — near bankruptcy, and again — torn apart by internal strife, wracked by crime — we see America, the one-time giant, in ruins. And I don't have to tell you the state of the other 'victor'. I don't have to tell you our condition.

Now that is surely an interesting historical fact. You have the three 'victors' of 1945 all on their knees, all in ruins. And you have the two defeated countries — going up, strong, prosperous, beating the world in trade and so many other things.

To me, there is surely something significant in that fact!

For doesn't it show that something terribly wrong has been going on in our country since 1945? We have the 'victory' of 'democracy', on the one hand, and communism, on the other hand. Despite this 'victory', where is communism, and where is 'democracy', today? I ought to paraphrase Mr. (later Sir) Winston Churchill, who said: "Never in the history of human conflict has so much been owed by so many to so few." I ought to say: can there be any parallel in the history of human conflict whereby 'victory' was turned so rapidly into defeat? Can there be any such parallel as that?

NATION'S ONLY HOPE

Now last week I was asked by a TV interviewer: "What makes you think that the people will turn to you?" What makes me believe — what makes me **certain** — that the people will turn to us is that in the end, in the catastrophe to which we are heading, they'll be able to turn to no-one else! They'll have nowhere else to turn — because it's abundantly clear that, 45 years after that so-called 'victory' of 1945, the governing powers of this country have fouled everything up. They have failed all the way down the line. They have fouled up everything!

In 1945 they inherited a situation of victory — and since that time they have turned that victory into total defeat. In those 45 years they've lost an empire. They've almost destroyed the industrial system of what was once the 'workshop of the world'. They've proved again and again that they're totally incapable of developing a workable system of education. They've brought us to national bankruptcy. They've totally lost control of law and order. They've abandoned the streets. **And they've given up vast areas of our once proud and happy land to a foreign invasion, the very foreign invasion they sent our young men in 1940 into the skies of Southern England to fight off — what a record!** *(applause).*

And if you seek their monument today, travel to the rotting garbage heaps that are the towns and cities of Britain. Look at the festering slums. Look behind the slums then at the mugger, the rapist and the murderer, who rule the streets at night — streets that have been abandoned by a ruling power that has lost the will to govern!

Look at the railways, that give lousy service — at enormous prices. Now I don't often travel by train, but I travelled by train up to the dinner in London last night. And when I saw somebody on that train who looked as if they might have been a foreign visitor I almost hung my head in shame at the filthy state of our railway system — windows that hadn't been washed for weeks, or months — litter all over the floor, filth and garbage and untidiness. And, not only this, they're now charging us higher prices for railway travel. Look everywhere at the dirt and litter. Look at the schools everywhere and the universities — churning out legions of ignoramuses, a labour force only fit to be the serfs of the world. Look at our roads — clogged up with the motor vehicles of Germany and Japan, the very people we were supposed to have defeated only 45 years ago. Look at our offices — brim-full of machinery made in the Far East, while we pay millions of British working men and women to sit idle, while our foreign competitors just send their goods in by the bucketload every day!

And everywhere you look you see the symptoms of a disintegrating society. You see family life collapsing. You see divorce increasing everywhere. You see illegitimacy going through the roof. And what do you see the church doing about all this? What are the clergy doing? The clergy are shuffling through the streets *(laughter,* demonstrating against Apartheid! And you see them touting the collection boxes around on behalf of the hungry of Sudan or Ethiopia — while old folk are going hungry and going cold in the winter in Britain! *(applause).* All the while do you see them writing in their newspapers with declarations against the menace of 'racism'! Again, as in government, you see the abdication of responsibility. You see a whole lot of little careerists and time-servers, desperately trying to jump into line with the trend of the moment. And these people, the people of the clergy — they are the ones who scream about the evils of 'racism', while society is collapsing around them, while the moral order is collapsing around them. **These are the people who set themselves up as the 'leaders' of a great crusade of moral 'righteousness' — against the White South Africans today — and tomorrow against the wicked 'racists' of the BNP in Britain. These people, who parade as the keepers of our moral conscience** — when they can spare the time from chasing choirboys round the vestry! *(laughter and applause).*

PARTY CONFERENCES

And in the midst of this rotting, collapsing society, we've seen, over the past few weeks, the party conferences — the yearly pantomime in which the boys and girls of the old gang of politics pirouette and posture, scream and screech at each other in front of the TV cameras — while Great Britain falls further and further to pieces. Each one of them comes on the stage and delivers

MRS. THATCHER
Claims that her Government is "doing well" — contrary to all the facts

the familiar whine that we've all heard a thousand times over, year in, year out — that all the troubles of Britain are the fault of their opponents — and if only the people of this country, in their infinite patience — if only the people of this country would give them one more try, everything would come right! Mrs. Thatcher's message to the Tory conference yesterday was: "We are doing well!" Well, I don't know where Mrs. Thatcher has been, whether she's been on Mars or Saturn. But I've been here — **and they've been doing terrible — for eleven years!** *(applause).*

The old, well played-out rigmarole of the party game — give them one more try and everything will come right! There'll be goodies for all the electors, something for every faction and every interest — a massive game of bribery and corruption, all designed to appeal to every greedy instinct, every selfish whim. And the name that they give to this great big charade — the charade of parliament, of party conferences, of elections, and the rest of it — what is the name they give to it? They call it 'democracy', and they try to tell us that their precious 'democracy' is the most wonderful and enlightened system of government ever devised by the brain of man. So let's look at what their 'democracy' has achieved...

Let's look at the skilled worker, in the prime of life, wanting to do a useful job, but condemned to idleness because finance can make better profits from the factories of Hong Kong and Korea. **What has democracy done for him?** *(applause).*

Look at the old-age pensioner, who sees his savings diminishing every year because inflation wipes out their value, and because our rulers cannot — or will not — control that inflation. What has 'democracy' done for him — or her?

Then look again at that same old-age pensioner, who cannot venture out at night from behind his reinforced doors and his barred windows — because the streets of our towns and cities have become places of terror, where the thug element rules — instead of the rule of law. Again. what has 'democracy' done for him?

THE 'FREEDOM' LIE

I could go on and on. I could go on and on, with an endless catalogue of the failures of government, the failures of leadership, that have been our legacy of the so-called 'democrats'. But I'll mention one last thing — one last claim that the lackeys of the system will always make when every other argument fails. 'Democracy', they tell us — 'democracy' means...'freedom' *(laughter).* Freedom of speech, freedom of assembly, freedom to demonstrate and to march, freedom of the press, freedom from the early morning knock on the door by the state police — hounding and persecuting those who dissent. "We don't have those things in dear old Britain — maybe in those horrid dictatorships in other parts of the world, but not here." That's what they tell us.

But, my friends, all of us in this hall know that this is a damnable lie — all of us know it! *(applause).* **All of us know it's a damnable lie — because up and down this country they've banned us from the meeting halls. They've banned us from writing our views in their press. They've banned our books from their bookshops.**

Contd. on next page

And when that doesn't shut us up they put us in jail! They put us in jail, as I know and John Morse knows — and over in Germany (another 'democracy') as Manfred Roeder knows — and John Morse's and my prison sentences were just a weekend compared with what he had!

They fling us in jail — and for what? For murdering people, killing, bombing people? For trying to stage an armed insurrection? No, for daring to utter a critical word against the new 'untouchables' of our society: the ethnic minorities — whom the ruling power has forced upon us. So, what a turnabout — **When in 1940 our young men took to the skies to defend Britain from invasion, they saluted them; they made heroes of them; they gave medals to some of them. And now, when people try to defend Britain from invasion, they lock 'em up! And all this in the name of their glorious 'democracy'. What a fraud! What a racket!** *(applause)*.

That is the whole stock-in-trade of the 'democratic' system. That is the eternal weapon of the 'democrats' and the 'liberals'. When they've made a mess of everything, when they've totally failed in every area of government, when they've brought ruin and chaos to a country, they depend on the stupidity and the credulity of 'the people', the people they're always courting and flattering and bribing. They depend on the people to believe every tale they tell them, to buy every shoddy package of goods they offer for sale.

I have witnessed, I think, nearly all of the television programmes made about the BNP, and I cannot think of one single programme — of one, maybe: *Scottish Eye*, which was reasonably fair — The others? They all contained at least one lie. Only last week we had this programme on the Southern Region TV: *Facing South*. They dragged up some character — of course you never saw his face; you just saw his silhouette. He spoke with a northern accent, but it wasn't a northern accent that I could recognise of any of our northern members. And he told the TV cameras that we had put him up to making attacks on synagogues, on Asian mosques, and all the rest of it. He told them that presumably I, and presumably the other leaders of the BNP, had put him up to this. We know that it's a damnable lie. They didn't even come to us and ask for our comment upon it; they simply put it over without us having a chance to refute it. And that was just one small example of their lies. They depend — after all their failures, all their mishaps, the ruin they've made of this country — they depend on the people believing their lies. And that's the only way that they can stay in power for much longer.

FIGHTING FOR FOREIGNERS

And now, now here in Britain, after yearsof surrender of every vital British national interest and every vital British national asset, after one capitulation after another, after giving in to the black mobs in our cities, after giving in to the gunmen of the IRA, after years of showing nothing but flabbiness and weakness in the face of rising crime in this country — after all this, they've at last found something that they're going to act firmly over. At last they've found something over which they're going to be 'strong'. They're going to be decisive, sending in the tanks, they're sending in the military aircraft; they're sending in the warships; they're sending in troops — some troops that may be called upon to die. **And for what? To protect some little tinpot country in the Middle East in a quarrel that is none of Britain's business and isn't worth the life of one single British soldier!** *(applause)*.

Because it doesn't matter a damn — it doesn't matter a damn whether the territory of Kuwait is controlled by one group of Arabs or another group of Arabs. They'll still have to sell us their oil — and at prices that'll be competitive enough to dissuade us from buying the oil elsewhere. Why is it always that the guardians of 'democracy' behave like jellyfish when it comes to protecting Britain's interests but are prepared to send men to fight, and die, to protect foreign interests? Why is it that they're always prepared to do that — prepared to launch a military operation that'll cost millions of pounds, when they can't afford enough money for British factories, British hospitals, British railways, British schools? Have you ever heard such lunacy in your life? And yet, not only the Government, but all the parties of opposition — Neil Kinnock, Paddy Ashdown and the rest of them — they're all behind Maggie, to send forces to the Middle East. They all want British forces to be sent in and British lives to be risked. Is it really to defend Kuwait — or Saudi Arabia? Is it to back one group of Arabs against another group of Arabs? Or is it for something else? Is it — dare I mention the possibility *(laughter)* — is it to defend Israel? Is it to defend and protect the interests of the Jews? If so, it won't be the first time that British servicemen have marched to war in a Jewish quarrel — not the first time. Poor Tommy Atkins — as so often in history, he knows not in whose cause he is asked to put his life on the line!

OUR STRUGGLE

Well, years ago we decided to take up the struggle against this system. And we knew when we took up that struggle that the fight would be long and hard. We knew that there would be heartbreaking times, and there were the heartbreaking years of the 1980s, when we seemed to be getting almost nowhere. Comrades, I shall never forget those who stood side-by-side with me in those very difficult years of the formative time of our movement. But at last, with the coming of 1990, with the dawning of a new decade, and over the not-so-distant horizon the prospect of a new century, at last this nation is starting to listen to us!

I've mentioned the omens — the upsurge in membership, the greater attendance at our big events, the greater votes. Now why is it that we are now starting to break through? I'll tell you — because a revolution is happening. A revolution is happening all over the world — because that false, prefabricated, shallow world that was constructed by the profiteers of 1945 — the catastrophe of 1939-45 — that whole world is now collapsing in ruins. **And a damned good thing — let us hasten its collapse!** *(applause)*.

A whole world is collapsing. We've seen — in a few months — we've seen communism collapse. We've seen the end of the 'cold war' (which always was never more than a phony war). We've seen the collapse of the Berlin Wall. And there are more collapses to come — because that's only the start. Soon after the collapse of communism is going to come the collapse of another unworkable system. **We will see the collapse of capitalism!** *(applause)*.



BRITISH ARMOUR IN FOREIGN PARTS
A Challenger tank, on exercises in the desert sands and accompanied by camels. Young British lives may be sacrificed by the Thatcher Government in a conflict in which no British interests, only foreign ones, are at stake.

DEDICATED CAMPAIGNERS
A typical team of BNP activists. People like these have kept at their tasks through years of setback. Now their message is at last being heeded.

And if there is to be any misunderstanding here let me make it clear that I do not mean the ordinary independent private producer, who controls his own firm and renders valuable goods or services to the community, and makes a profit out of those services — that is not what I mean by capitalism. **What I mean when I talk about capitalism is the sharks of the City. What I mean is the Saunders', the Ronsons, the Lyons, the Parnes', and the rest of the crooks who make money out of nothing — by speculating and by swindling other people — that is what I mean by the coming collapse of capitalism!**

Isn't it absurd that you can sit in an office in the City of London, with a telephone on one hand and a computer on the other hand, and you can make a few calculations and a few telephone calls — to Tokyo, New York, Paris, or wherever, and you can make a million in a matter of hours — without contributing a single piece of sweat of your brow to the prosperity and the wealth of this country. Isn't that wrong? And that is what I mean by capitalism; I don't mean the honest-to-goodness private producer. **And I believe that that capitalist order is going to collapse just as certainly as the communist order has collapsed — because we know, don't we, that ever since 1917 the two have been partners, married together — in the same bed!** *(applause)*.

COLLAPSE OF MULTI-RACIALISM

With the collapse of capitalism is going to come the collapse of two more of the sacred cows of our old system: **multi-racialism and internationalism** — because for all these years they've been pulling the wool over the eyes of the people, trying to tell them that 'racism' is a sin, that 'racism' is evil. And yet all around us, in the cities of our country — London, Manchester, Liverpool, Glasgow and elsewhere — Bristol, Nottingham, Leicester — **the facts of life are showing that multi-racialism cannot work and must be ended!** *(applause)*.

What we now have — what we have today — is a whole tide that's sweeping across this world, burying for all time the false world that was created in 1945. And everywhere in this new world, the new world that is starting to take shape at the end of this century, that is going to be the world of the next century, everywhere in this world NATIONALISM IS ON THE MARCH — AGAIN! *(applause)*.

We have seen it rise in France. We have seen it rise in America through the successes of David Duke. We have seen it rise in Germany, and elsewhere. It has always been there in Japan — which is the reason why Japan is the most successful nation in the world today. Now we are a little bit behind — **but we are starting to move too! And this meeting, this year of development by our movement, is a proof that here the slow-to-anger British people are at last starting to be angry!**

It's happening here. It is happening here through you! Through your work, through your sacifice, through your dedication — and through the sheer tide of events that is sweeping over us all, showing that what we have stood for all of these dark years of failure and setback has been right all the time — and is today the only way out if Britain is to live again! *(applause)*.

I end to today with a part of the words of our Police Commissioner for London, Sir Peter Imbert *(loud boos)* — who has told a meeting of the Jewish Board of Deputies — only the other day he said: "I am determined to stamp out racism in London." **Well, I can tell them all today that he is going to fail — and they are all going to fail — everywhere!** *(applause)*.

Because what we are now seeing in this country is the stirring of a reborn people — a people who have been trodden on, imposed upon and insulted for so long. THOSE PEOPLE ARE NOW HITTING BACK. THOSE PEOPLE ARE ON THE MARCH. AND THOSE BRITISH PEOPLE — THEY ARE GOING TO WIN, AND PUT THEIR COUNTRY BACK ON TOP OF THE WORLD! *(prolonged applause)*.

The Gulf conflict

ENOCH AGREES WITH US!

MR. ENOCH POWELL, no doubt unintentionally, has endorsed the position on the Middle East conflict taken up by *British Nationalist* and the BNP. In an article in *The Sunday Correspondent* on October 21st, he said that Britain has no business in the Gulf because no vital British interests are at stake there. Said Mr. Powell, speaking of the Iraqi leader who has invaded Kuwait: "I am not discussing whether or not Saddam Hussein is a bad man who does bad things, but only whether, if that were so, it would be justification for going to war with him, when what he did is not done to us and does not threaten to be done to us."

ENOCH POWELL
Given good advice which the Government probably will not heed

Mr. Powell went on to say: "The world is full of evil men engaged in doing evil things. That does not make us policemen to round them up nor judges to find them guilty and to sentence them. What is so special about the ruler of Iraq that we suddenly discover that we are to be his jailers and judges?"

In the same article Mr. Powell touched upon the Palestinian Israeli conflict, when he said: "We have been so injudicious as to make public statements as to what we consider ought to be the boundaries between the state of Israel and its neighbours; and certain utterances from the Foreign Office have implied that, once we have settled arrangements in Mesopotamia (Iraq) and the Gulf to our omniscient satisfaction, we will proceed to organise those other boundaries in the light of our own opinions. To imagine that the Jewish state will ever be satisfied with the division of Jerusalem between two nations or will cease to aspire to embrace the "land of Israel" up to Jordan, it is necessary to be profoundly ignorant of the history of Palestine and of the Jewish people."

All this is of course good advice which the Thatcher Government would do well to heed.

Now Labour wants to ban Nelson!

A STATUE of one of Britain's great national heroes has been banned from a park in Birmingham because certain Labour city councillors regard him as "a one-eyed, one-armed warmonger."

And — wait for it! — these councillors have the full support of the Bishop of Birmingham, the Rt. Rev. Mark Santer. His reason? The statue might offend Moslems and Jews!

The bronze sculpture of Admiral Lord Nelson, erected in 1809, currently stands in Birmingham's Bull Ring Centre. Tory councillors wanted it removed because the building on which it stands now houses public conveniences — hence the move to get it transferred to a nearby park.

But this was unacceptable to the Labourites and the Bishop. Hence the rumpus.

Here is just one more example of the rule that Labour Party people can always be relied upon to oppose any patriotic cause or symbol. Of course, if the statue were of Nelson Mandela they would be only too pleased to give it a prestigious site in the city!

The statue

The race-chaos nightmare we must act to forestall

A LOOK AT THE HELLHOLE THAT IS NEW YORK CITY TODAY

IN NEW YORK CITY not so long ago 'Black Power' agitator Jesse Jackson made a call for an end to 'racial attacks' in the city. What he seems to have been referring to was white-on-black violence, real or alleged, rather than the other way round.

But in view of the actual condition of New York City such preachifying from the likes of Jackson is somewhat rich.

For many years there has existed just below the surface a simmering race war liable to erupt at any moment. Not the least threatening factor in the situation has been the primeval criminal violence disproportionately emanating from the city's Blacks and Hispanics. Among other things, this has contributed heavily to New York's staggering murder rate, now running at a couple of thousand a year.

According to *Daily Mail* correspondent Tony Burton, the burgeoning black and Hispanic population of the city is "prostrate" under drugs and crime" — and, he might have added, AIDS. A seething underclass, it has reproduced the conditions of the most



NO REMORSE FOR RAPE
McCray (left) and Salaam (right). They joked about their victim's ordeal

backward parts of the Third World in the midst of what was once a fine city built by the brains and sweat of Americans of European descent. Elements of this underclass population now seem disposed to vent feelings of envy and hatred with the utmost savagery against the latter.

Just recently, members of the black 'wilding' gang which sadistically beat and gang-raped an intelligent and talented young white career woman, whom they waylaid when she was jogging in New York's Central Park, were convicted for their part in this hideous crime. The three in the dock, Anton McCray, Raymond Santana and Yusuf Salaam, showed no remorse at any stage. In custody they had laughed and joked. Arrogant to the last, Salaam even claimed after being found guilty that he was a "victim of white oppression." Where he got his inspiration from was revealed when he compared himself, perhaps not so inaccurately, to black South African terrorist Nelson Mandela.

'BLACK POWER' IN SUPPORT

But far from condemning these vicious criminals from their own community, 'Black Power' groups have made plain their support for them. Such groups did not scruple to pressure the court, the woman prosecution counsel, and even the victim herself, who turned up to give evidence against her attackers. The charge against all of these people was the predictable one: 'racism'! Even the judge, after he had sentenced the thugs, was accused of 'racial bias'.

In fact, those who run 'liberal' New York have always been strongly biased **in favour** of the specially protected minority groups. Not long ago a football player at the city's Columbia University charged at an awards banquet that Columbia "catered to Blacks, Jews and homosexuals at the expense of others."

Certainly, when it comes to enforcement of the criminal law there is evidence of reverse racism against Whites. A few years ago some white youths were involved in a fracas with some Blacks in the Howard's Beach area. One of the latter was accidentally killed. Because 'white racism' was said to have been involved one of the young Whites was given a savage 30-year prison sentence. This contrasts quite sharply with the 20-year sentences imposed on the 'wilders' of Central Park.

THREAT TO RIOT

Not the least factor in the Howard's beach case was the veiled threat from Blacks to riot if stiff sentences were not imposed.

The reality of life for law-abiding New Yorkers is one ongoing nightmare of crime and racial tension. Moreover, the moment race is brought into the equation no white person who in any way falls victim can count on the law to take his or her side impartially against the criminal.

Not surprisingly, in these circumstances some have thought it necessary to take matters into their own hands. The 'death wish' vigilante of the New York Subway, Bernhard Goetz, will spring to mind. When accosted on an underground train by a group of mainly black muggers some years back, Goetz pulled out a gun and shot a number of them.

Meanwhile, as has been observed before, what happens in New York today is liable to set the scene for what happens throughout the western world, not least Britain, tomorrow. There are, indeed, some signs that if Britons do not act soon to terminate the outrage of multi-racialism that has been inflicted on them and their country the pattern of New York's race chaos and conflict will soon descend upon them.

Racial tension is already building up in London and other cities. There is also a very obvious anti-white bias in the policies of our ruling 'liberal' establishment. Now is the time to forestall the New York-style nightmare that threatens our country. It may be the last chance we get!

A PICTURE THAT TELLS A TALE

ON his recent trip to Israel Britain's Foreign Secretary Douglas Hurd had some difficulty in getting anyone at all to listen to him, whether Jew or Arab.

The picture shown here perhaps gives some indication why. It shows our Foreign Secretary in humble posture, a *yarmulka* (Jewish skull cap) perched atop his head, laying an official wreath from Her Majesty's Government in homage to Jewish casualties (real or imaginary) of the Second World War at Israel's notorious *Yad Vashem* memorial. One of the country's major tourist sites, *Yad Vasham* purports to commemorate victims of the alleged Nazi 'holocaust'.

Israel has always relied heavily on the 'holocaust' story to justify its existence and win international sympathy and support. But in recent times the truth of this supposed 'historical event' has more and more come into doubt, as scholars and researchers of many nationalities and backgrounds, including a number of Jews, have called into question one after another of the claims made in connection with it.

But none of this got Britain's Foreign Secretary anywhere with the Israelis. In fact he found himself on a hiding to nothing from his moment of landing in Jerusalem. Before going, he had incurred Zionist wrath with a few mild reproofs to the Israelis over their latest shootings of Palestinians at the city's Temple Mount. It was partly to appease Israel's Premier Yitzhak Shamir and his Government that Hurd seems to have made the trip.

But the Israelis merely humiliated him repeatedly when he got there. First, he was banned from making a public statement. Then Shamir rubbed his nose in it some more by stonewalling all his efforts to get Israel to accept an international investigation into the Temple Mount killings. Finally, he had to endure a public harangue in front of the world's media by Shamir's Foreign Minister David Levy, exposing his utter failure to make headway with the Israeli Government.

TREATED WITH CONTEMPT BY BOTH SIDES

Meanwhile, the Palestinians now refused to meet him. They saw his ingratiating, sweet-talking attitude towards the Israelis as a cowardly betrayal of his earlier mild support for them against their oppressors.

It is really not surprising that the Jews should have refused to listen to Hurd and the Arabs to treat him in this way. His *Yad Vashem* exhibition — whatever precise effect it may or may not have had on this occasion — is, after all, a strong indicator as to where Hurd and his Government really stand. By giving their unconditional seal of authority, in so servile a gesture, to something so dubious as the 'holocaust' tale (which is a powerful factor in 'legitimising' Israel and its actions) they can only add to the awareness of Arab and Jew alike that they are completely bespoke — sold out to the cause of the Zionist state lock, stock and barrel, and in the last resort completely in the Zionist pocket.

This being so, why should Jew or Arab think it at all worth their while to give the British Foreign Secretary the time of day? After all, both know that he and his Government will always dance obediently to the Zionist tune at the end of the day. So there is really nothing to be discussed.

Just how subservient Britain is to the Zionists is perhaps shown by the willingness of any British Foreign Secretary to speak to the likes of Yitzhal Shamir at all. Back in the 1940s this man was a leader in Palestine of the anti-British Stern Gang terror group. He was behind the murders of many British policemen, soldiers and administrators — not least Britain's Minister to Cairo, Lord Moyne. Quite apart from anything else, the fact that none of this has ever set back Shamir's rise to his present eminence in Israeli politics should be enough in itself to modify Britain's whole stance towards the Zionist state.

It is certainly time for the grovelling to stop.

GROVELLER HURD
The Foreign Secretary pays his respects to Jewish 'holocaust' victims — not that this got him anywhere with Israel's bosses, who still treated him like an errant schoolboy on his recent visit to their country

THATCHER'S COME-UPPANCE

(Contd. from front page)

manufacturing industries. We need a nationalist policy in world affairs, a policy which excludes the dispatch of British servicemen abroad to fight for interests other than British ones. We need a policy of nationalism which frees us from the 'obligation' to send thousands of millions abroad in overseas aid while at home our schools, hospitals and other amenities are desperately short of money.

Above all, we need policies of nationalism which are aimed at protecting our **national identity** and recapturing those areas of our country that have been surrendered to foreign immigrants and their offspring. We need a policy of **Britain for the British**. This means that there is no room in this country for the ethnic minorities and that we should resettle them in their lands of origin.

Neither Tories, nor Labour nor Liberal Democrats will ever give the nation this sort of government or these policies. The British people should reject them — **all of them** — and support the only true alternative that is on offer: **our** alternative — before it is too late!

The new-look Tory Party

THE VOGUE for promoting 'ethnic minorities' up the ladder of British politics seems no longer to be confined to the Labour Party.

Shown in the picture here is Mrs. Lurline Champagnie. As the new Tory candidate for Islington North, she represents what may turn out in the not-too-distant future to be the new-look Conservative Party. At the next election she will be the first black woman to fight a parliamentary seat for the Tories.

Although she ascribes her promotion to this dizzy height to her own 'get-up-and-go', we cannot help noticing that Mrs. Champagnie has nevertheless had quite a leg-up on the way, this coming from some influential and, perhaps to some, unexpected quarters within the Tory Party establishment. For one of her strongest backers has been no less a personage than erstwhile Tory Chairman Norman Tebbit.

"Norman," says Mrs. Champagnie, "told me: 'You are going to get a lot of knocks because you are a black woman. But I've had a lot of knocks, and I'm a white man!' "

The ordinary Tory voter in the street might think this kind of encouragement to the new Tory hopeful from such a source a shade odd. After all, didn't Mr. Tebbit just recently seem to be setting himself up to fill the boots of Enoch Powell as some latter-day great white hope of the Tory right?

First, we had his 'cricket-test' remarks in connection with ethnic minorities, and then there was his somewhat half-hearted opposition in parliament to the Hong Kong Chinese influx. But perhaps the rapid and ignominious collapse of the latter itself told a bit of a tale. Certainly neither Tebbit nor his 'right-wing' colleagues pushed the matter very hard.

TEBBIT
While posing publicly as an opponent of immigration, he has encouraged the new black candidate

ALL ABOARD THE MULTI-RACIAL BANDWAGGON

The truth seems to be that, contrary to what the more gullible Tories may have been led to believe by the posturing of Tebbit & Co., he and his faction in the party are not really opposed to multi-racialism as such, or to the ethnic transformation of Britain. From Mrs. Champagnie's carryings on, they seem keener on persuading the 'ethnics' to put on a smiling act so as to smooth the path to their acceptance here.

Whatever her other qualifications, Mrs. Champagnie certainly seems to have mastered this particular art. She has her lines off pat. Everything will turn out fine, she says, if "Blacks, Asians and Chinese" call themselves "English." She wants "everyone who is black to believe that they are part of this country" — and, she admonishes, "everyone must accept that."

All this may be good enough for Mr. Tebbit. It may be good enough for the delegates at the recent Tory conference, who gave Mrs. Champagnie a rapturous reception. But it certainly is not good enough for *British Nationalist*.

We leave it to the common sense of our readers to judge whether multi-racial Britain — the land of the inner-city riot and the Moslem militant — has turned out in this cosy way, or shows any signs of doing so. We also leave it to their common sense to judge what would be left of Britain if the kind of racial amalgamation Mr. Tebbit's protegee seems to favour were to take place.

Meanwhile, the success of Mrs. Champagnie within the Tory Party is just one more sign — if such were needed — that the Tories are as much a part of the national rot as any of their opponents in the other established political parties.

THE NEW CANDIDATE
Mrs. Lurline Champagnie (seen here) has been adopted as prospective Tory candidate for Islington North. She is the first black woman to be thus honoured. We suspect she won't be the last!

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — Many people are of the opinion that it was the Labour Government of Harold Wilson that was mainly responsible for giving British passports to millions in the Third World. Actually, the chief culprit was the late Iain MacLeod, Tory MP for Enfield and Colonial Secretary in the Conservative Macmillan Government.

I think this should be made more widely known.

R. AUSTEN
London N.21

Sir, — Labour leader Neil Kinnock has accused Mrs. Thatcher of throwing a 'tantrum' at the recent Rome summit conference because she would not agree to the replacement of the Pound Sterling by a single European currency.

Perhaps he relies on people's memories being short. It was not so very long ago that Labour were the party most opposed to giving up sovereignty to European authorities and to the integration of the British financial system with that of the EC. Indeed at one time Labour was even against membership of the Community — rightly, in my opinion.

Labour has since then changed its position — purely because it has found it politically expedient to do so.

D.M. WILLIS (Mrs.)
Shipley, Yorks.

Sir, — Only this morning on TV I watched a programme about the great lengths to which educational authorities are going to overcome the problem of the large numbers of 'ethnic minority' children who cannot cope in British schools. Included in the programme was a report on a special school in Mid-Wales set up to help these pupils.

All this is no doubt costing a hell of a lot of money. Would it not be far easier to acknowledge that multi-racialism and 'multi-culturalism' have not worked and to start the necessary job of repatriation of these people to their ancestral homelands?

A.M. CARTER
Norwich

B.N.P. ANNUAL RALLY, OCTOBER 13

How we beat the bans — a blow-by-blow account

IT HAS BEEN the custom over the past few years to refer to the BNP Annual Rally in October as the 'best ever' — so much so that some readers may be getting tired of this description. But it is difficult to know what else to say about this year's event — for it undoubtedly was — yes, the **best ever**!

And all this despite immense handicaps placed in the party's way which rendered it possible at one time that there might be no rally at all. In the final outcome, after two bookings of meeting halls had been cancelled, the party was forced to redirect its followers and other interested people right out to the furthest fringes of the London area and to accommodate them in a hall which was much too small for the number in attendance. This was simply because the BNP has a pretty narrow range of options when it comes to available halls, and even these have to be reserved by the use of various subterfuges which always involve the concealment of our identity.

As a party brought into being to wage war against a world of lies, we hate having to tell lies ourselves, but we are faced with no alternative in a situation where local authorities up and down the country refuse to grant us our democratic rights of assembly by consenting to hire their meeting halls to us when we apply for them under the party's true name. The same is true of private bodies letting halls — in this case often simply because the owners fear damage to their premises by the BNP's opponents. We are therefore forced into a position in which we are bound by the laws of survival. Either we employ deception in our bid to hire meeting halls, only disclosing our real identity when we have filled the hall in question and it is a little late in the day to remove us, or we go out of business as a political movement. It is not our intention to go out of business.

Last year we used the Devon and Somerset Room of the Great Western Hotel in Paddington. Although we booked this venue by our usual method, the management of the place did not seem unduly put out when they learned who we were — after all, they were only too pleased to pocket the substantial booking fee!

PRECAUTION

This year we repeated the booking, but as a precaution against it being cancelled late in the day we booked two other venues as reserves. One was the large hall at Friends House, Euston Road, which was convenient because this for some years has been the landmark for our rendezvous point. The other was a community centre in Hainault, Essex.

In the meantime we were forced to work out a carefully prepared and organised scheme for getting everybody to whatever hall we were finally to use. This took a good bit of thinking and planning, what with all the obstacles we anticipated might be placed in our way.

On the evening of the Thursday before the rally we received a telephone call from the manager of the Great Western Hotel informing us that an 'error' had been made whereby the Devon and Somerset Room had been double-booked. This was obvious nonsense, but the fellow simply hadn't the guts to tell us that he was cancelling the booking because he didn't like the colour of our politics.

Our alternatives for a rally venue were therefore narrowed down to two. Friends House was the first choice because of its location and its convenient size (it seats 600). However, in case this too became lost to us we had printed large numbers of leaflets containing instructions on how to get to the third venue should this be necessary.

We booked Friends meeting hall claiming to be a society for the preservation of traditionalism in literature. The lady at the other end of the 'phone seemed a bit suspicious but eventually the arrival of our cheque induced her to give us the benefit of the doubt, and the booking was accepted.

A few minutes afterwards some police began to arrive.

DENIED ENTRY

We then asked the hall management to open the doors so that our people may enter the building and proceed to the hall. This they said they could not do until certain enquiries had been made as to who we might be. Now it appeared that the police had more or less taken over, as the ladies and gentlemen of the Society of Friends quite obviously had come to feel that the situation had got beyond them. The police told us that we could not **yet** come in, but neither did they tell us that we would not **eventually** be let in. By now it was obvious that they were 'stalling', hoping that we would waste so much time waiting for a



Friends House: the crowd begins to muster

Friends House, as most people will know, is the national headquarters of the Society of Friends, otherwise known as the Quakers. The Quakers constitute some of the worst species of one-world 'liberals' — although their liberalism, like that of others of their kind, does not extend to a belief that people they passionately dislike should have the right of assembly and of free speech. We prepared to give them something of a surprise on the day!

The assembly time was 1-2 p.m. By a little after 1 o'clock a substantial number had turned up, and this grew, and grew, and grew as the hands of the clock moved on. A token staff representing the 'Friends' had placed themselves inside the building, and as the crowd gathered we could see them nervously glancing out of the windows. Their faces were a treat to behold! Were **these** the people who actually were going to use their hall? It seemed as if they were somewhat worried by the thought. After all, a sight awaited their eyes which must have been strange to them as they observed the nationalists walking up and down — large numbers of young males who actually looked like **men**! Quite a lot of them gave the impression of being fellows whom it would be unwise to get on the wrong side of. The twitching Quakers clearly were getting more and more worried. Eventually one of them came out and approached one of our officials. "Are these people opponents of yours, come to demonstrate against you?" she asked. "Oh no," the official replied. "They are all our **supporters**." This seemed to drive the lady into a panic, and she quickly disappeared back into the building.

verdict on our right of entry that most of the afternoon would be gone and it would be too late for our meeting anyway.

Action was clearly called for, and it was equally clear that we were now down to our final option, which was the undersized hall at Hainault. So be it!

The crowd now present looked decidedly large. It is easy enough to count people sat down in rows of seats; it is less easy to do so when they are standing and walking about. We thought there could have been anything from 300 to 450 there but that we could have been mistaken. It certainly looked the biggest attendance we had ever had at an annual rally or any other kind of BNP meeting.

At 2.10 p.m. we called everyone together and announced that it was fairly clear that we would not be allowed to use the hall nearby. We therefore directed them to Option No. 3 "out in the sticks." It would mean, for most, a ride on the Tube. Some of us wondered how they would ever get tickets in time!

Meanwhile, we had arranged for a party of BNP personnel, headed by David Bruce, to be waiting for us at Hainault with radio telephone so that we could inform them of the situation at the Friends House end. This we did, and they immediately started to put into operation our contingency plan to get the hall at Hainault ready for the meeting.

RACE AGAINST THE CLOCK

A group of us travelled to Hainault in the party's motor van. Traffic was slow through London and all the time the clock was ticking away. Would we get to our destination in reasonable time for the meeting to proceed and be completed?

We eventually arrived at the Hainault meeting hall after having to have a bit of a search at the other end. One building we first thought might be the place we were looking for turned out on closer examination to be a synagogue! In one newspaper report we read subsequent to the event it was even implied that there was some sinister purpose behind our choice of a hall in such close proximity to this establishment, but the suggestion was laughable; we knew nothing of the synagogue's existence until we stumbled upon it. Some fathead of a police officer apparently thought it necessary to 'guard' this building while we were in the vicinity.

Shortly after our small party arrived, the much bigger one of party supporters did so. Apparently they had had a twenty-minute march through this sleepy suburb in order to get there from the station.

MEETING BEGINS AT LAST

After due preparations had been made, the meeting began at about 4 o'clock in the afternoon. In view of the limits on seating, well over half the people in attendance had to stand. When all the audience were in their places we were able to make some sort of a count of those present. This resulted in a tally of about 330. Past experience indicates that up to 20 per-cent of those attending these events are non-members, so that out of this number the BNP representation would be about 260-270.

However, the imponderable quantity was that of the number of people who had turned up at the original rendezvous outside Friends House. In the following fortnight we received messages from various quarters, and with various explanations, from people who had been put in this situation. In some cases the people concerned were old folk who felt the extra journey would be too tiring. However, most of those who could not make it out to Hainault were prevented from doing so by early evening appointments or trains to catch. At first estimate, we were quite certain that we had 'lost' at least 30, maybe 40, people in this way. However, a subsequent newspaper report suggested that we should raise our estimate considerably.

Inside the meeting: the hall fills up

This report stated that a police estimate of the number present made it around **500**. We would have been very pleased to have had 500 turning up at Hainault, although heaven knows how we would have got them into the hall! However, realistically we knew that the number was less than this. We have to presume, therefore, that the police estimate had been based on a count of those assembling outside Friends House an hour or so earlier. Police are more practised than we are in counting crowds in these situations. We do not think the number could have been quite 500, for if it had been more would have got to Hainault than did. What does seem probable, however, is that this number was not less than **400**. This means that we certainly did suffer no small reduction in audience as a result of the change of location forced upon us. That's the bad news. The good news is that this number of 400 easily beats all previous records for attendances at rallies. Last year we thought we had done pretty well by drawing just under 300. This year's turnout would therefore amount to at least a 33 per-cent increase!

ENEMIES THWARTED

But what was most satisfying of all was that the BNP, by good organisation, foresight and planning, had thwarted those who had set out to deprive the party of its Annual Rally, the most important event in its yearly calendar.

Today in Britain's much-vaunted 'democracy' parties like the BNP have been deprived of all normal rights of assembly that are granted to the parties of the 'established order' and even to such organisations as Sinn Fein and the 'Troops Out' movement — self-acknowledged supporters of the IRA terrorists, who have little difficulty finding local authorities to grant them the use of meeting premises.

In such a situation the BNP has to resort to distinctly 'unorthodox' methods to exercise its right to assembly, and each occasion when it seeks to do so assumes the character of a military operation. We are like guerillas fighting a war in enemy-occupied territory where, according to the rules laid down by the occupying power, we have no rights at all.

Our office files are bulging to bursting point with letters from local authorities all over Britain informing us of those authorities' refusal to allow us the hire of their meeting halls. We intend to keep these letters under safe lock and key — as ammunition in our struggle to expose the thorough phonyness and fraudulence of the system they call 'democracy'. One day the British people will come to know of the racket carried out in their name!

But on Saturday, October 13th, we beat the system — and not for the first time, nor the last. If they force us to these unorthodox practices, then those practices we shall have to employ. If they slate us as 'liars' for using false names to book meeting premises, we condemn them as far bigger liars for maintaining the pretence that this is a 'free' and 'democratic' country when it is nothing of the kind.

We shall continue at all times to do what is necessary to further our

Contd. on page 8

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP
Telephone: 081 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

VIDEO & SOUND RECORDINGS

RALLY '89

Video-recording of the British National Party rally in London, October 14th 1989

Hear:-
MARK WILSON (Chairman)
JOHN PEACOCK
TONY MORGAN
GUS McLEOD
DAVID BRUCE
HARRY MULLIN
RICHARD EDMONDS
JOHN TYNDALL

BNP Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

***RALLY '89*: Video-recording of the highly successful BNP rally in London on October 14th last year. £16 plus £1.05 p&p.**

***RALLY '82*: Sound recording of the BNP rally in London on October 16th 1982. Speeches by John Tyndall and Charles Parker.**

***TYNDALL SPEAKS II*: Sound recording of two studio talks by John Tyndall: (1) 'The case for nationalism'; (2) 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (about World War II).**

***TYNDALL SPEAKS III*: Sound recording of two studio talks by John Tyndall: (1) 'Why we must repatriate'; (2) 'Foundations of the national community'.**

Sound recordings £3.50 plus 32p p&p





BOOKS



***THE ELEVENTH HOUR* (by John Tyndall). Written when the BNP leader was in prison on the charge of publishing material likely to stir up 'race hatred', this book is the one all nationalists have been waiting for. The book is in two parts. The first part describes the author's early years and introduction to, and progress through, the nationalist movement. Following this is the most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 609 pages. Paperback £10 plus £2 p&p. Hardback £15 plus £2.62 p&p.**



***THE NAMELESS WAR* (by Capt. A.H.M. Ramsey). A British member of parliament describes the secret history leading up to the Second World War. Despite being an MP, Capt. Ramsey was jailed without trial for four years for opposing Churchill's war policy. 128 pages. £3.25 plus 46p p&p.**

NEW STICKERS

Oblong self-adhesive sticker with slogan: 'Stop immigration! Start repatriation!' in red on white background. For 80 stickers £1 plus 27p p&p. For 500 stickers £6 plus 55p p&p. For 1,000 stickers £12 plus £1.05 p&p.

Circular self-adhesive sticker in red, white and blue with BNP logo and name and address. Prices same as for immigration sticker (above).

NEW POSTER

Large three-colour poster: 17.7in x 24.85in. 1-9 copies 30p each; 10-19 copies 26p each; 20-49 copies 20p each; 50 copies or over 15p each.

B.N.P. STICKERS



OUTLAW HOMOSEXUALITY!

Protect our women and old folk STAMP OUT MUGGERS!

Fight subversion SMASH COMMUNISM!

LOVE THE WHITE RACE Protect its future!

Save our cities from this (riot)... START REPATRIATION!

As more unemployment rolls off the boats, we say... BAN IMPORTS!

Protect our young from child murderers... BRING BACK THE ROPE!

Stickers measure 3½in x 2½in. Self-adhesive at £1.50 per 100; gummed back at 40p per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS CHILD MURDER Make it illegal!


Free speech is under attack —
DEFEND IT!
The Government is trying to suppress criticism of its open-door immigration policy by prosecuting those who speak out. Help us fight this tyranny! Give us your support!
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
BNP

Free speech is under attack — DEFEND IT! Mentions prosecutions and bans against nationalists

Support Ulster Smash terrorism HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!

Oppose the disarmers Build up our forces MAKE BRITAIN STRONG

Hong Kong Chinese NO ROOM HERE!

She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year
PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
BNP

She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!

Vicious sentences handed out to Glasgow BNP men

FOUR MEN, members and supporters of the British National Party in Glasgow, were each given one year's imprisonment for their part in an affray in the city last January. The sentences were handed out at the Glasgow Sheriff Court on October 24th.

The four were: **Brian Ross, Ian MacMillan, Derek Stevenson** and **Craig Chapman**. They were arrested and charged as a result of a clash between BNP supporters and those of the Socialist Workers Party outside a meeting of the SWP.

What led to the clashes was that, on the previous day, a BNP member, Norman Murray, was viciously attacked by a mob of SWP supporters at a Glasgow station. He was entirely alone and merely going about his private business. The attack was totally unprovoked.

BNP members and friends of the attacked man received information that a meeting of the SWP was taking place the next evening. At very short notice, a team of BNP men proceeded to the meeting with the object of making known their displeasure over the assault on their colleague and making it clear that this kind of behaviour would not in the future be tolerated.

They were standing outside the public house where the SWP meeting was taking place when a mob of SWP supporters, considerably larger in number, rushed out and attacked them. The BNP men fought back feriociously and successfully beat off the attack. By this time, however, the police had been called and six BNP men were arrested, including the four.

One of the BNP men involved in the fighting, Eric Brand, received some bad stab wounds and had to be treated in hospital.

On their lawyer's advice, the four men facing charges pleaded guilty. Sheriff Andrew Lothian ordered that they be given twelve months' detention.

We believe this to be a vicious and grossly unjust sentence given the circumstances in which the fracas occurred. The court should have taken into account the attack on Norman Murray the previous day, as it also ought to have taken into account that the BNP men did not start the fighting outside the pub but were first attacked by their opponents. Their 'crime' seems to have been: (1) that they defended themselves with admirable vigour; and (2) that they were British National Party supporters and not therefore entitled to the considerations of justice and fairness that is expected in British courts. The media, true to form, hyped up the issue by describing the men as 'neo-nazis'.

As we go to press, it is uncertain where the four men are being held. As soon as we have the addresses of their places of detention we will publish these so that *BN* readers can write to them with messages of encouragement and support. In the meantime, however, anyone who writes to them c/o the BNP Glasgow address can rely on the letter being forwarded on by the Organiser as soon as possible. And remember, do not expect a reply — prisoners are limited in the number of letters they may send out, and these naturally are reserved for family and close friends. So, send a note and cheer one of our lads up. It all helps!

HELP THESE MEN!

THE FOUR MEN sent to jail as a result of the affray in Glasgow fortunately incurred no legal expenses nor fines. Nor do they have any dependents.

Nevertheless, all four are likely to suffer to some degree from their incarceration. Two had jobs that they are likely to lose in consequence of being sent to prison.

Eric Brand, former Scottish BNP Organiser, has requested that a special fund be set up to help the four men, and has generously set an example by himself contributing £100 to start the fund off. The money will be used to help any of the men experiencing job-finding difficulties on leaving prison and also to enable them to enjoy a few extra home comforts when 'inside'.

Prisoners in British jails get a small weekly allowance which they are able to spend on confectionery, coffee, etc. at the prison canteen. However, if they have extra funds in their accounts they can obtain more of these things than would otherwise be possible.

We ask everyone contributing to the fund to mark their donations 'Prisoners' Fund' and to send them to Glasgow BNP, PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL.

These men have lost their freedom only for doing their duty in defending the party and their party colleagues. We owe it to them to make their jail sentence as tolerable as possible. Please, like Eric Brand, give generously!

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

HILLINGDON
PO Box 275, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB10 8XU

SOUTH WEST LONDON
BCM Box 5103, London WC1N 3XX

SOUTH EAST LONDON
PO Box 316, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

BARKING & DAGENHAM
PO Box 12, Dagenham, Essex RM10 7HE

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10, 2DN

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

MILTON KEYNES
PO Box 311, Bradwell Common, Milton Keynes, Bucks. MK13 8YZ

DEVON
PO Box 1, Torrington EX38 8HQ

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

NORTH WALES
PO Box 3, Llangefni, Anglesey L77 7UY

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH
PO Box 211, Peterborough, Cambs. PE3 8JE

NOTTINGHAM
PO Box 2, Carlton, Nottingham NG4 3EQ

WEST NOTTS.
PO Box 5, Eastwood, Notts. NG16 3RN

NEWARK
PO Box 5, Newark, Notts. NG24 3LD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

EDINBURGH
PO Box 719, NWDO, Edinburgh EH4 2SR

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

PAISLEY
PO Box 72, Paisley, Renf. PA2 0BH

DUNDEE
PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Richmond-on-Thames, Hounslow, Newmarket, Colchester, Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Reading, Bedford, Stourbridge, Newark, Mansfield, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Burnley, Blackpool, Halifax, Dewsbury, York, Teesside, Sunderland, Stirling & Alloa, Greenock, Ayr, Cumbernauld, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to contact any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

New Building Fund

We are very pleased to announce that the target of £5,000 for the BNP New Building Fund has now been reached. We would like to take this opportunity to thank all those who have contributed to the fund.

MEDIA BLACKOUT SMASHED

BNP on TV again and again!

THE GROWING STRENGTH and electoral appeal of the British National Party are attracting more and more attention from the news media. The BNP is now growing so fast and receiving such a high level of public support that it seems that the media can no longer ignore its rising power.

At the time of the last tremendously successful by-election in St. Peters Ward in the East End, when Ken Walsh scored over 12 per-cent of the poll, the BNP, in London at least, was reported on by TV five times in one week — including a ten-minute spot shown nationwide in *Ain't no Black in the Union Jack*, which must have contributed to the excellent election result just three days later!

More recently, a five-day period spanning the party's best-ever Annual Rally saw the BNP on TV on two further occasions. On the Southern TV network the *Facing South* programme reported on the growing strength of the party and showed a well-received leaflet drive and paper sale in Portsmouth by a team of BNP activists. Then following on from the big rally *Thames Report* in the London area devoted a five-minute feature to the BNP, and despite some vicious attempts at smears failed to show the party in an unfavourable light. In fact some of the footage of the rally shown in the report was an excellent advertisement for the party.

Over the past seven months the BNP has been featured on television so many times that we have simply lost count. John Tyndall has himself been interviewed on at least six or seven occasions, and Sky TV and even an American cable network company have beaten a path to the party's door to see and hear for themselves just how successful the BNP is becoming.

As the BNP is growing and becoming more successful, the news media, including television, are finding it harder and harder to give the party the silent treatment in the way that they did not so long ago. Of course this does not mean that the media 'love' us any more than in the past; it just means that, as one TV reporter told us when making a recent programme, "you're news"!

Tony Wells

Top picture: TV camera team films BNP activists in Portsmouth Bottom picture: BNP activists pause for their own cameraman

Tears, sackcloth and ashes — they're proscribing us!

RECENTLY there came into our possession a copy of an organisers' bulletin issued by the National Front *Flag* group. The bulletin gives a report of the NF's latest AGM, which we are informed took place in London on September 22nd.

Occupying about 40 per-cent of the space in the report is a statement that the meeting passed "an emergency motion" proscribing what are described as "lunatic groups," among which the British National Party is honoured by inclusion. It is announced that "anyone donating money to such groups, helping them in any way or holding membership of them is liable to disciplinary action." The bulletin goes on to state that "This move was essential if our progress towards the election is not going to be hampered by our opponents trying to link us with these tiny fringe elements."

BNP members reading this and noting the word 'tiny' might find it hard to suppress a chuckle. Elsewhere in the bulletin it is stated that 150 people attended the AGM (an inside source of information which we have no reason to believe would understate the numbers present puts the figure at nearer 120). Elsewhere in this paper readers will be able to ascertain that about 330 attended the BNP rally on October 13th, about 80 per-cent of whom were party members. An additional number which probably added up to at least 40 more members would have been present but for the last-minute switch of venue which involved extra travel and alteration to times. Need any more be said?

The NF leadership seems most concerned that its party's election prospects should not be jeopardised by any taint of association with the 'lunatic' BNP. Some, however, might think that if only such an association could be established those prospects might well be improved! Currently, the BNP is obtaining far superior results in elections to those of the Front — a trend that has continued since we beat the Fronters (albeit then by a small margin) at Greenwich in 1987. Not since the 1970s (when the NF was under the same leadership as is the BNP today) has the Front achieved anything like the 12.1 per-cent of the poll won by BNP candidate Ken Walsh in St. Peters Ward of Tower Hamlets in August of this year. The Front's latest effort was 154 votes at the by-election in Eastbourne, which amounted to 0.33 per-cent of the poll. This placed the NF some considerable distance behind the 'lunatic' candidate in that election, a prostitute standing under the name of Lady Cash Lash La-Rue Whiplash (Corrective), who gained a comparatively respectable 216 votes!

In view of the recent relative performances of the National Front and the BNP, the mentality betrayed by this bulletin announcement is nothing short of pathetic. It might be pertinent to state, as a reminder, that no proscriptions have been carried out against the NF by the BNP. Our members are at liberty also to be members of the Front if they wish so to be. As for instructing our people that they should not donate money to the Front, that would be a downright impertinence: its is their money and they alone have the right to decide what they should do with it.

This contrast in attitudes should tell people something about where lies the main source of blame for the fact that the nationalist movement is still divided. It should also be of some interest to those who have been wound up by the Front's leadership against the BNP on the grounds that the latter's leadership is 'dictatorial'.

The National Front, notwithstanding its present truncated state, still today contains some good patriots — one of these being the hapless fellow who was thrown by his chiefs into the Eastbourne fiasco and, as candidate, forced to take the brunt of the inevitable humiliation that followed. It is a pity and tragedy that the efforts, dedication, loyalty and sacrifices of such people are today channelled into a cause whose only purpose seems to be to maintain their ruling committee in office and to give them something to continue to rule over. Would it not be too much to ask that the members of this committee, instead of occupying their time and their energies with bitchy little salvoes against the BNP, recognised the writing on the wall, acknowledged their failure and accepted that the BNP, as Britain's currently most powerful and effective nationalist organisation, is the one behind which the efforts of all nationalists in this country should be placed? The Front leaders should heed one of their party's principal slogans: Britain First! The cause of Britain and its people is indeed much bigger and more important than they. This cause cannot wait forever for them to see reason and disband their fruitless, money-wasting and time-wasting enterprise. Britain has one last chance, in the 1990s, to give birth to a movement of national salvation that will rescue it from the abyss. If we fail to build such a movement, our children and grandchildren will curse us — and those they will curse the most will be the ones who, from petty jealousy and spite, kept us divided at a time when we should have marched forward as one.

How about it, friends of the Front?

RALLY TRIUMPH

THE ANNUAL RALLY of the British National Party, held on October 13th, took place under conditions of exceptional difficulties. How these difficulties wer eventually overcome is detailed in a special report on page 5. In any event, with about 330 present the attendance broke all previous records.

David Bruce was rally chairman for this year, and he called the meeting to order at around 4 p.m. The first speaker was Steve Cartwright, reporting from Scotland, one of the party's most successful areas this year. He was followed by John Peacock, reporting from another very successful region: the East Midlands. London apart, Scotland and the East Midlands are the areas where the party has made the greatest impact in 1990. Both regions made magnificent contributions to the rally, bringing around 50 delegates each down to London for the event. In view of the distance and travelling expenses involved, the Scottish achievement here is simply fantastic.

Following these speeches was a most interesting one from Steve Smith, one of the most successful of the party's candidates in recent local government elections and a highly promising speaker. Steve came to the BNP from the National Front a year or so back, and he related what factors led him to make the change.

Tony Wells spoke next, with a report on the party's progress in opening up new units over the country — a task in which he has played a helping role over the past year. His speech was his maiden one at a major BNP event and was well delivered and made a good impression.

Richard Edmonds' contribution to the rally was a colourful report on the great successes the party had achieved in local government elections in London's East End, and the example this set for the rest of the country. Now that the political climate is beginning to change to the advantage of the nationalist movement, the BNP will be making a much bigger commitment to elections in the coming year or so.

Standing in for Manfred Roeder, who, as most readers will know, was prevented by a Home Office ban from entering the country and making it to the rally, was Mr. Karl Philipp, another German Nationalist. Mr. Philipp, who spoke excellent English, gave an extremely interesting report on the progress made in Germany in countering the lies surrounding World War II (contrary to what most people believe, modern Germany — including West Germany — is not a 'democratic' country; there is a rigorous suppression of nationalists and patriots there which is as bad as anything that happened under the now defunct 'East' German comunist regime).

Then came the collection, with David Bruce, as is customary, doing the honours. This year both he and the audience excelled themselves. A fantastic **£3,200** was raised. In addition to this, the party saved a further £1,100 on refunded hire fees from the owners of the halls where bookings had been cancelled, so that it ended the day **£4,300** the wealthier: Not bad going!

The rally concluded with the customary winding up speech from John Tyndall, which is reported in full elsewhere in this issue. With the completion of Mr. Tyndall's address the meeting ended in tremendous enthusiasm. The party had overcome all obstacles placed in its way and had held its rally.

Below: guest-speaker Karl Philipp reports on the German scene

Above: Tony Wells reports on setting up of new party units; below: short from near back of hall.

MESSAGE FROM MANFRED ROEDER TO B.N.P.

Dear Friends,

The great storm is over, and I have returned home. Of course, I am very interested to know how everything went, what the papers wrote, and so on.

Following your advice, I tried to get into Britain via the Channel Isles, but I was six hours too late. In the morning the authorities there had received orders from the Home Secretary to stop me. I arrived in Jersey at 6 p.m. on Thursday, October 11th. I was interrogated and treated like an enemy agent. I was taken prisoner and locked up in the police station for 18 hours, then escorted by three policemen to the airport. I was given no breakfast, no opportunity to wash or shave and no sheets on my bed. The lights were kept on all night. I was stripped of all my possessions — even my watch and braces were taken away. My pockets were searched twice before I was locked up. Truly a hearty welcome!

The notice from Britain's Home Secretary that I would not be allowed into the country was sent to my home too late for me to receive it before I left. It was waiting for me upon my return.

The handling of the situation by the British authorities was humiliating amd totally unfounded, and it showed no sense of proportion.

If the purpose was just to refuse me entry and prevent me taking part in your meeting, it would have sufficed to let me stay on in Jersey overnight in a hotel and then see me off the next day. I could never have left the island in any other direction without permission.

When David Irving was invited recently to speak in Germany, he was allowed by the authorities to enter the country and attend the meeting in question, though not to speak at it. The British authorities could have done the same with me. But the whole purpose was to treat me as an enemy and to humiliate me. They even persecuted me beyond Britain's borders by informing the police in Paris about my returning by that route, so that I could not walk around the city there but had to be escorted by four policemen for six hours, then put on a plane for Frankfurt — after having my personal property searched yet again, as in Jersey.

Nevertheless, I don't regret the attempt to come to Britain, for I think it vital that we claim our proper rights and make public how these rights are being curtailed. Freedom of speech in our so-called 'democracies' is a farce, and this fact should be proclaimed from the rooftops.

The ordinary British cops were polite and friendly and said openly how embarrassed they felt about the whole treatment. They even woke me up in the morning by whistling the German national anthem! The ordinary British people and cops (like the French people and cops) are our friends, but the British and French Governments are our deadly enemies.

I told the TV people: we will continue with non-violent action, but also with civil disobedience, protesting against unlawful acts by any government.

Your fellow fighter
MANFRED ROEDER

MANFRED ROEDER (pictured below) was invited to be guest-speaker at the British National Party's Annual Rally in London on October 13th, but was banned from entering the country after pressure from Jewish groups had been applied against the Home Secretary. In the message printed here, Mr. Roeder gives an account of the disgraceful treatment given him by the authorities on his landing in the Channel Isles.

The Roeder case: the true facts

The Home Office, backed up by the press, has tried to justify the ban on Manfred Roeder's entry into Britain by claiming he was involved in an arson attack on a building in Germany in which two Vietnamese refugees lost their lives. This is totally contrary to the facts.

The fire which resulted in the deaths was started by two people who had been members of Roeder's organisation. It was done without his knowledge, and he had no part in it. The culprits believed at the time that the building was unoccupied.

Manfred Roeder was implicated in the crime on no evidence whatsoever, but was 'railroaded' into jail solely because of his political views, which were considered 'dangerous' by West Germany's 'democratic' authorities.

What we stand for

- The establishment of a government of national unity that will put an end to nationally-divisive party warfare; the return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British withdrawal from the Common Market
- The disbanding of the multi-racial Commonwealth and its replacement by a new Commonwealth of self-governing white states
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- An end to the financial swindle that causes inflation and slump, and its replacement by a sane and fair financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The subordination of the power of the City to the power of government, and the harnessing of the City to the needs of British industry
- The regeneration of British farming with the object of achieving the maximum possible self-sufficiency in food production
- A healthy environment for a healthy people — the protection of our countryside and an end to the architectural disfigurement of our towns and cities
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- An alternative defence strategy: withdrawal from NATO, closure of all foreign military and air bases in Britain, the strengthening of Britain's defences and a position of armed neutrality
- A end to overseas aid and the allocation of the money saved to the financing of repatriation and greater help for the needy at home
- The crushing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repeal of the laws permitting homosexuality and abortion (except where the latter is the result of rape or necessary on medical grounds), the wiping out of AIDS and a return to healthy moral values aimed at strengthening the family and community

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(Tick appropriate box):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £11.00. 87p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 79p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.52 post
50 copies £10.00 + £3.15 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.60 post
150 copies £25.00 + £4.15 post
200 copies £30.00 + £4.80 post
300 copies £42.00 + £6.05 post
400 copies £55.00 + £6.05 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* entirely separate from other correspondence so as to avoid delay and confusion.

HOW WE BEAT THE BANS

(Contd. from page 5)

proud and mighty cause — and to beat the system, as eventually we shall. On the day of our Annual Rally we took one step further towards that final victory. We look forward to many more!

Congratulations and thanks to all those who made the rally possible.

Vehicle Fund

Contributions to the BNP Vehicle Fund since last month come to £135 — part of this being money transferred from the New Building Fund after the latter's target figure had been met.

This leaves a sum of £473.50 still to be raised. This is money that must be paid back to the two London branches who lent £1,200 to buy the party a new motor van earlier this year.

We hope readers will give generously so that the target can be achieved.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.40 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.40 (unsealed surface-mail) to subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign-currency cheques and POs and an additional £2.50 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed & published by BN Press, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW